



Utility Emergency Planning and Disaster Response Workshop 1

R.15-06-009 Phase 2



Jeremy Battis

Risk Assessment, CPUC Safety and Enforcement Division

CalOES | Mather

June 26, 2018



Agenda - Workshop 1

- 9:30- 9:40 a.m.** **Opening Remarks by Commissioner Rechtschaffen and Safety Message**
Clifford Rechtschaffen, CPUC Assigned Commissioner
- 9:40- 9:50 a.m.** **SED RASA Overview of Phase 2 Scoping Memo and Work Ahead**
Jeremy Battis, SED Risk Assessment, lead analyst for R.15-06-009
- 9:50- 10:10 a.m.** **Existing California Preparedness and Emergency Management Oversight and Coordination**
Tina Curry, CalOES Deputy Director of Planning and Preparedness
- 10:10- 11:10 a.m.** **Existing Pertinent General Orders and Related Rules – *panel presentation and discussion, followed by Q&A***
Quang Pham, SED ESRB Senior Engineer – *“GO 166 and Related General Orders for Electric Utilities and Emergency Planning”*

Bradley Leong, CPUC Water Division Utilities Engineer – *“GO 103-A, Rules Governing Water Service, State and Federal requirements for emergency response plans; Commission-jurisdictional water utilities and CPUC oversight of California water utilities”*

Keith Melville, SDG&E Attorney – *“Existing Law and CPUC Regulations regarding Utility Operation, Reliability, and Safety During Emergencies and Disasters”*

Donald Daigler, SCE Director of Business Resiliency– *“State and National Standards Driving Utility Emergency Management in California”*
- 11:10- 11:20 a.m.** **B R E A K – ten minutes**
- 11:20 a.m.- noon** **Existing Utility Emergency Planning – *a two-part program to include Q&A***
Lisa Hayes, LADWP Emergency Preparedness Coordinator – *“LADWP Emergency Preparedness and Citywide Integration”*

Evermary Hickey, Director, PG&E Emergency Preparedness & Response – *“PG&E Emergency Planning”*
- Noon- 1:30 p.m.** **L U N C H – one hour, thirty minutes**





Agenda - Workshop 1 (cont.)

- 1:30- 2:10 p.m. Existing Utility Emergency Planning – *continued***
Jim Wollbrinck, San Jose Water Co. Manager of Security & Business Resiliency –
“Commission-Regulated Water Utilities: Planning for Emergencies”
Donald Daigler, SCE Director of Business Resiliency – *“SCE Emergency Response
Overview”*
- 2:10- 3:20 p.m. California Examples of Readiness, Response, and Coordination in Action**
Dave Gabbard, PG&E Senior Director, Transmission Asset Management –
“Community Wildfire Safety Program”
Augie Ghio, SDG&E Director Emergency Management – *“Emergency Planning and
Response - Promoting Stakeholder Coordination, Partnering and Outreach”*
Jennifer Pearce, SCE Senior Manager Business Resiliency – *“Overview of SCE’s
Response Plans for Emergencies Relating to Seismic Activity”*
Gerald Simon, California Water Service Co. Chief Safety and Emergency
Preparedness Officer– *“Emergency Response: Oroville and Erskine Wildfire Incidents”*
Eric Lamoureux, CalOES Response and Recovery Deputy Director – *“How the State
Responds and Recovers from a Disaster Incident: Informing Utility Emergency Response”*
- 3:20- 3:25 a.m. B R E A K – five minutes**
- 3:25- 3:55 p.m. Perspectives from Consumer and Community Representatives – *panel
presentation and discussion, followed by Q&A***
Melissa Kasnitz, Center for Accessible Technology – *“Emergency Planning for
Communities with Special Needs”*
Meredith Gerhardt, Contra Costa County Emergency Planning Coordinator– *“Local
Government Perspective”*
- 3:55- 4:10 p.m. Wrap-up, Recap of Day’s Highlights, and Next Steps**
Arthur O’Donnell, SED Risk Assessment Section Supervisor
- 4:10- 4:30 p.m. CalOES Emergency Operations Center Tour**
Ronald Demayo, CalOES Senior Emergency Services Coordinator
- 4:30 p.m. A D J O U R N**





Phase 2 Initial Steps

Pre-hearing Conference Notice and Call for Statements, issued by Assigned ALJ Gerald Kelly on January 19, 2018

Parties Responded by Submitting ***PHC Statements***, on or before March 1, 2018

Assigned Commissioner Clifford Rechtschaffen on May 31, 2018, issues ***Scoping Memo and Ruling*** to establish proceeding framework, key areas to be addressed, and a schedule to include public workshop





Phase 2 Schedule

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Workshop 1 Existing Industry and Regulatory Policy Framework and How It Informs California Disaster Preparedness and Emergency Response | June 26, 2018 |
| Workshop 2 Communication, Coordination, and Adequacy of Existing Controls and Measures. | Third Quarter 2018 |
| Workshop 3 Gap Analysis and Identification of Potential Solutions | Fourth Quarter 2018 |
| Workshop 4 Proposed Mitigations, Improvements, and Remedies (<i>tentative, if needed</i>) | Fourth Quarter 2018 |
| Workshop Report | Late January 2019 |
| Comments on Workshop Report | Within two weeks of Workshop Report |
| Proposed Decision on Phase I Issues | Summer 2019 |
| Comments on Proposed Decision | Pursuant to Rule 14.3(d) |
| Reply Comments on Proposed Decision | Pursuant to Rule 14.3(d) |
| Final Decision on Phase II Issues | Within 18 months of the scoping memo |





2018 Workshop Series

2018 Series of Stakeholder Public Workshops

Workshop 1 – June 26, kick-off and level setting to identify relevant issues and existing conditions, and recognize regulatory framework (*Mather, Calif.*)

Workshop 2 – August 30, assess adequacy of existing issues, identify gaps and potential areas in need of revision (*San Diego*)

Workshop 3 – October 16, put forward proposed solutions (*San Francisco*)

Workshop 4 – TBD (if needed), additional presentations and consensus building toward a structured set of proposed solutions (*San Francisco*)





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Lists twelve key items and questions:

1. Section 768.6(a) requires disaster and emergency preparedness plans that include:
 - a. the use of weather reports to pre-position manpower and equipment before anticipated severe weather;
 - b. methods of improving communication between governmental agencies and the public;
 - c. methods of working to control and mitigate an emergency or disaster and its aftereffects; and
 - d. How should each of these elements be incorporated into the electrical corporations' and regulated water companies' disaster and emergency preparedness plans?
2. What other elements should be included in the electrical corporations' and regulated water companies' disaster and emergency preparedness plans?





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Lists twelve key items and questions:

3. What new rules, standards, or General Orders or modifications to existing policies should the Commission consider to ensure that electrical corporations and regulated water companies are in compliance with the statutory requirements of Pub. Util. Code section 768.6?
4. Should the requirements for small water corporations be similar to those imposed on Class A water companies?
5. What new rules, standards, or General Orders, or modifications to existing policies should the Commission consider to ensure that counties and cities have an opportunity to participate in the preparation of disaster and emergency preparedness plans?





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Lists twelve key items and questions:

6. What are the gaps and limitations of electrical corporations' and regulated water companies' existing disaster and emergency preparedness plans?
7. To what extent should disaster and emergency preparedness plans be standardized across electrical corporations and regulated water companies?
8. How will these plans be evaluated? I.e., what metrics, if any, should be used as part of such evaluation?
9. What communication protocols should be considered to ensure that the utilities are adequately communicating with the Commission, other local, state or federal agencies and other utilities during an emergency?





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Lists twelve key items and questions:

10. How will utilities' disaster and emergency preparedness plans address the needs and risks of disabled persons who may require special assistance during a disaster or emergency?
11. How should the Commission ensure compliance with the requirements in Section 768.6 that electrical corporations and regulated water companies meet with representatives from the cities and counties within their service territories when developing or updating disaster and emergency preparedness plans?
12. What process should the Commission employ to evaluate electrical corporations' updated disaster and emergency plans every two years, as required by Section 768.6(b)(3)?





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Key Overarching Guiding Principles Shaping Phase 2:

“One objective of this rulemaking is to solicit input from the utilities and other interested persons on what rules and procedures should be adopted by this Commission”

... point to an emphasis on stakeholder engagement





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Key Overarching Guiding Principles Shaping Phase 2:

“With input from the public and local governmental agencies, the Commission will ensure electric corporations and regulated water companies have adopted emergency preparedness plans that will serve as blueprints for appropriate prevention, preparedness, and response actions”

... point to an emphasis on stakeholder engagement





Phase 2 Scoping Memo

Key Overarching Guiding Principles Shaping Phase 2:

“With input from the public and local governmental agencies, the Commission will ensure electric corporations and regulated water companies have adopted emergency preparedness plans that will serve as blueprints for appropriate prevention, preparedness, and response actions”





Questions?

California Public Utilities Commission

www.cpuc.ca.gov

Safety and Enforcement Division

Risk Assessment and Safety Advisory Section



Jeremy Battis

Senior Analyst

415.703.3041

jeremy.battis@cpuc.ca.gov

